# This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

### IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,786	01/02/2004	Kiroku Kato	KATO.001US2	1497	
36257	7590 07/15/2004		EXAM	INER	
PARSONS HSUE & DE RUNTZ LLP 655 MONTGOMERY STREET			VOELTZ, EMANUEL T		
SUITE 1800	JANEET STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANCI	ISCO, CA 94111	2121			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	M			
Office Action Summary		10/750,78	36	KATO ET AL.	18			
		Examiner	,	Art Unit				
		Emanuel <sup>2</sup>		2121				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION msions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no even copy within the state d will apply and w ute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be t utory minimum of thirty (30) da ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror lication to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this com  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	January 200	<u>4</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.							
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Qu	iayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from co	nsideration.					
5)	□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.   □ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.   □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
·								
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election r	equirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)∏ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
🗖	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	=	=				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. No	ote the attached Offic	e Action or form PTC	)-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have bee	n received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	-		ved in this National S	tage			
* /	application from the International Bure	· ·	` ' '					
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	si of the cert	nea copies not receiv	rea.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.								
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date	8)		Patent Application (PTO-	152)			
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							

Art Unit: 2121



#### United States Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER FOR PATEN UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFI WASHINGTON, D.C. 201 WWW.USDIO.

#### Examiner's Detailed Office Action

This action is in response to patent application number 10/750,786, filed January 2, 2004.

Claim 1 has been examined.

Claims 2-20 have been cancelled in the preliminary amendment, filed January 2, 2004.

## **Double Patenting**

#### Non-Statutory

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claim 1 of U. S. Patent No. 5,971,587, granted to Kato et al. since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

Art Unit: 2121

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

Further, claim 1 of U.S. patent 5,971,587 contains every element of claim 1 of the instant application and as such anticipates claim 1 of the instant application.

"A later patent claim is not patentably distinct from an earlier patent claim if the later claim is obvious over, or **anticipated by**, the earlier claim. In re Longi, 759 F.2d at 896, 225 USPQ at 651 (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting because the claims at issue were obvious over claims in four prior art patents); In re Berg, 140 F.3d at 1437, 46 USPQ2d at 1233 (Fed. Cir. 1998) (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting where a patent application claim to a genus is anticipated by a patent claim to a species within that genus). " ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v BARR LABORATORIES, INC., United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, ON PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC (DECIDED: May 30, 2001).

As per claim 1,

A method for tracking items in a package comprising:

writing into a plurality of storage labels, each of the labels associated with an item, information concerning delivery of the items, said information useful for automated sorting and tracking the items along their passage to destinations;

transporting the items and their associated labels;

Art Unit: 2121

applying query signals to the labels to retrieve the information and to cause said labels to provide said information by means of energy of the query signals received by the labels;

sorting the items by means of the information retrieved; and delivering the sorted items to destinations.

See claim 1 of U.S. patent 5,971,587, granted to Kato et al in its entirety.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

#### Prior Art of Record

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The various patents are cited for showing the general state of the art in package and mail delivery systems.

#### Correspondence Information

Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Emanuel Todd Voeltz** who may be reached via telephone at

(703) 305-4563. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between the

hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. eastern standard time.

If you need to send an Official facsimile transmission, please send it to (703) 872-9306. If you would like to send a Non-Official (draft) facsimile transmission the fax

Art Unit: 2121

is (703) 746-5104. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Anthony Knight, may be reached at (703) 308-3179.

Any response to this office action should be mailed too: Director of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231.

Moreover, hand-delivered responses should be delivered to the Receptionist, located

on the fourth floor of Crystal Park 11, 2121 Crystal Drive Arlington, Virginia.

Emanuel Todd Voeltz
Primary Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2121
United States Department of Commerce
Patent & Trademark Office

EMANUEL TODO VOELTZ
PRIMARY EXAMINER